

**FILED**

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
NORTHERN DIVISION  
MAY 11 11 39

MAY 11 1998

THOMAS C. CAVER, CLERK  
BY \_\_\_\_\_  
DEPUTY CLERK

IN RE: EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION  
LITIGATION AGAINST THE STATE OF  
ALABAMA, et al.:

EUGENE CRUM, JR., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STATE OF ALABAMA, et al.,

Defendants.

Shirley Mays,

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

v.

STATE OF ALABAMA, GOVERNOR FOB  
JAMES, STATE OF ALABAMA PERSONNEL  
BOARD, STATE OF ALABAMA PERSONNEL  
DEPARTMENT, DR. HALYCON VANCE BALLARD,  
AND ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN  
RESOURCES, AND ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF  
INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS,

Defendants.

2:07cv432-MHT  
CIVIL ACTION NO.:  
CV-94-T-356-N

**COMPLAINT-IN-INTERVENTION**

**I. JURISDICTION:**

1. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343(4), 2201 and 2202, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq.*, and 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This is a suit authorized and instituted pursuant to Title VII of the Act of Congress known as the "Civil Rights Act of 1964," as amended, the "Civil Rights Act of 1991," 42

U.S.C. § 1981, and 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked to secure protection of and redress deprivation of rights secured by 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq., 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981 and 1983, and the "Civil Rights Act of 1991" providing for injunctive and other relief against race discrimination. The plaintiff-intervenor and the class she seeks to represent only seek equitable relief by this suit and do not seek damages under the Civil Rights Act of 1991 or 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981 or 1983.

2. The Plaintiff-intervenor has fulfilled all conditions precedent to the institution of this action under Title VII of the Act of Congress known as the "Civil Rights Act of 1964," as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq., the "Civil Rights Act of 1991," 42 U.S.C. § 1981, and 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff-intervenor filed her charges of race discrimination with the EEOC within 180 days of the occurrence of the last discriminatory act and timely filed her race discrimination claims within 90 days of the receipt of her right-to-sue letter from the EEOC. In addition, she is relying on her own EEOC charge and those of the other plaintiffs and plaintiff-intervenors.

## **II. PARTIES:**

3. Plaintiff-Intervenor, Shirley Mays, is an African-American citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of Alabama.

4. The plaintiff-intervenor brings this action on her own behalf and on behalf of all other black persons similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

The class which the plaintiff-intervenor seeks to represent is composed of black persons who are employed, have been employed, or who may in the future be employed by the defendants. There are common questions of law and fact affecting the rights of the members of the class who are, and continue to be limited, classified and discriminated against in ways which deprive or tend to deprive them of equal terms, conditions, or privileges of employment. These persons are so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical. A common relief is sought. The interests of said class are adequately represented by the plaintiff-intervenors. Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class.

5. Defendant, State of Alabama, is an entity subject to suit under Title VII of the Act of Congress known as the "Civil Rights Act of 1964," as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq., the "Civil Rights Act of 1991," and 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981 and 1983. The defendant employs at least fifteen (15) persons.

6. Defendant, State of Alabama Personnel Board is an entity subject to suit under Title VII of the Act of Congress known as the "Civil Rights Act of 1964," as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq., the "Civil Rights Act of 1991," and 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981 and 1983. The defendant employs at least fifteen (15) persons.

7. Defendant, State of Alabama Personnel Department, is an entity subject to suit under Title VII of the Act of Congress known as the "Civil Rights Act of 1964," as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e et

seq., the "Civil Rights Act of 1991," and 42 U.S.C. §§1981 and 1983. The defendant employs at least fifteen (15) persons.

8. Defendant, Halycon Vance Ballard, as the Director of the Personnel Department, State of Alabama, is named individually and in her official capacity and as such is subject to suit under Title VII of the Act of Congress known as the "Civil Rights Act of 1964," as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq., the "Civil Rights Act of 1991," and 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981 and 1983.

9. Defendant, Fob James, Governor of the State of Alabama, in his official capacity, is the Chief Executive Officer of the State of Alabama and has the ultimate authority over the operations and policies of all of the defendants and as such is subject to suit under Title VII of the Act of Congress known as the "Civil Rights Act of 1964," as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq., the "Civil Rights Act of 1991," and 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981 and 1983.

10. Defendant, Department of Human Resources, is an agency of the State of Alabama and an entity subject to suit under Title VII of the Act of Congress known as the "Civil Rights Act of 1964," as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq., the "Civil Rights Act of 1991," and 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981 and 1983. The defendant employs at least fifteen (15) persons.

11. Defendant, Department of Industrial Relations, is an agency of the State of Alabama and an entity subject to suit under Title VII of the Act of Congress known as the "Civil Rights Act of 1964," as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq., the "Civil Rights Act

of 1991," and 42 U.S.c. § § 1981 and 1983. The defendant employs at least fifteen (15) persons.

**III. CAUSES OF ACTION:**

12. The plaintiff-intervenor and the class she seeks to represent re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-11 above with the same force and effect as if fully set out in specific detail hereinbelow.

13. The defendants have discriminated against the plaintiff-intervenor and the class she seeks to represent on the basis of race in hiring, rehiring, evaluations, compensation, transfers, job duty assignments, recruitment, screening, selection procedures, denial of promotions, discipline, demotions, rollbacks, sick leave, subjective decision-making practices, and other terms and conditions of employment which have resulted in disparate impact and treatment of the plaintiff-intervenor and the plaintiff class.

14. Plaintiff-Intervenor, Shirley Mays, has been employed by the defendant, the State of Alabama, for over ten years. From 1977 to 1981, Shirley Mays was employed by the Department of Education. From 1982 to 1987, Shirley Mays was employed by Human Resources and held the positions of Account Clerk I and Account Clerk II. From July 1997 to the present, Shirley Mays has been employed with Industrial Relations and currently holds the position of Account Tech I.

15. Since 1982, Mays has continuously sought a promotion to the positions of Account Clerk II, Account Tech I, Account Tech II, Accountant II and Account Examiner I.

16. The State of Alabama, the State of Alabama Personnel Board, State of Alabama Personnel Department, Haylcon Vance Ballard, Department of Human Resources and the Department of Industrial Relations discriminated against the plaintiff-intervenor and other blacks as a class in the formation and implementation of the register for these and other positions.

17. This systemic discrimination further adversely affects plaintiff's status as an employee and other black employees by promoting and reinforcing racial stereotypes and bias in hiring, promotions, training, transfers, job assignments, job classifications, discipline, demotions, job assignments, evaluations, supervision, training, compensation, benefits, and other terms and conditions and privileges of her employment in her current position of Account Tech I, as well as other positions she has held. Such discriminatory denial of job assignments, training, and experience results in lower scores and rankings on applications for promotions than are received by whites. This discriminatory practice has and will inevitably prevent the plaintiff from achieving her career goal to become employed as an Accounts Manager III.

18. The plaintiff-intervenor and the class she seeks to represent are seeking to redress the wrongs alleged herein and this suit for equitable relief, including back-pay, injunctive relief and a declaratory judgment are her only means of securing adequate relief. The plaintiff-intervenor and the class she seek to represent are now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable

injury from the defendants' unlawful policies and practices as set forth herein unless enjoined by this Court.

**IV. PRAYER FOR RELIEF:**

**WHEREFORE**, the plaintiff-intervenor and the class she seeks to represent respectfully pray that this Court assume jurisdiction of this action and after trial:


1. Issue a declaratory judgment that the employment policies, practices, procedures, conditions and customs of the defendants are violative of the rights of the plaintiff-intervenor and the plaintiff class as secured by Title VII of the Act of Congress known as the "Civil Rights Act of 1964," as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq., the "Civil Rights Act of 1991," 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981 and 1983.

2. Grant the plaintiff-intervenor and the plaintiff class a permanent injunction enjoining the defendants, their agents, successors, employees, attorneys and those acting in concert with the defendants and at the defendants' request from continuing to violate Title VII of the Act of Congress known as the "Civil Rights Act of 1964," as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq., the "Civil Rights Act of 1991," 42 U.S.C. § 1981, and 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

3. Enter an Order requiring the defendant to make the plaintiff-intervenor and the plaintiff class whole by awarding them the position(s) they would have occupied in the absence of race discrimination, back-pay (plus interest), declaratory and injunctive relief, lost seniority, lost benefits and lost pension benefits.

4. Grant the plaintiff-intervenor and the plaintiff class such other relief and benefits as the cause of justice may require, including, but not limited to, an award of costs, attorney's fees and expenses.

Respectfully submitted,



Rocco Calamusa, Jr.  
Counsel for the Plaintiff-Intervenor  
and Plaintiff Class

OF COUNSEL:

GORDON, SILBERMAN, WIGGINS & CHILDS, P.C.  
1400 SouthTrust Tower  
Birmingham, AL 35203  
(205) 328-0640



**Defendants' Addresses**

State of Alabama  
c/o Office of Attorney General  
Room 303, 11 South Union Street  
Montgomery, AL 36130

Governor Fob James  
c/o Office of Attorney General  
Room 303, 11 South Union Street  
Montgomery, AL 36130

State of Alabama Personnel Board  
c/o State Personnel Department  
Third Floor, Suite 327-A  
64 North Union Street  
Montgomery, AL 36103

State Personnel Department  
Third Floor, Suite 327-A  
64 North Union Street  
Montgomery, AL 36103

Department of Human Resources  
50 N. Ripley Street  
Montgomery, AL 36130-4000

Halycon Vance Ballard  
c/o State Personnel Department  
Third Floor, Suite 327-A  
64 North Union Street  
Montgomery, AL 36103

Department of Industrial Relations  
649 Monroe Street  
Montgomery, AL 36131